מתני׳ כל עריות אחד גדול ואחד קטן קטן פטור אחד ער ואחד שון ישן פטור אחד שוגג ואחד מזיד שוגג בחטאת ומזיד בהכרת:

MISHNA: This mishna cites an additional difference between the status of an espoused maidservant and the status of forbidden relatives. In all cases of intercourse with those with whom relations are forbidden, if one is an adult and one is a minor, the minor is exempt; if one is awake and one is sleeping, the sleeping one is exempt; if one commits the act unwittingly and one does so intentionally, the one who did so unwittingly is liable to bring a sin offering and the one who did so intentionally is liable to be punished with *karet*. By contrast, in a case of intercourse with an espoused maidservant, the man is liable to bring a guilt offering only if the woman is flogged, and that is the case only if she was an adult, awake, and committed the sin intentionally.

Q Search

Log in

Sign up

② ⊕

X

Keritot 11a The William Davidson Talmud (Koren - Steinsaltz)

D AN

גמ' והכא חייב קטן א"ר יהודה הכי קתני כל עריות אחד גדול ואחד קטן קטן פטור וגדול חייב והכא גדול נמי פטור מ"ט דהא מקשיין אהדדי

GEMARA: The mishna teaches that in all instances of intercourse with those with whom relations are forbidden, a minor is exempt. The Gemara asks: And is that to say that here, in the case of an espoused maidservant, a minor is liable? But a minor is exempt from all liabilities in the Torah. Rav Yehuda said: This is what the mishna is teaching: In all cases of intercourse with those with whom relations are forbidden, if one is an adult and one is a minor, the minor is exempt and the adult is liable. But here, in the case of an espoused maidservant, the adult is also exempt. What is the reason? Their punishments are linked, as they are juxtaposed to each other in the verse: "There shall be an inspection...and he shall bring his guilt offering unto the Lord" (Leviticus 19:20–21).

X

The William Davidson Talmud (Koren - Steinsaltz)

□ AN

כל עריות אחד ניעור ואחד ישן ישן פטור וכאן ישן נמי חייב א"ר
יהודה אמר רב הכי קתני כל עריות אחד ניעור ואחד ישן ישן פטור
וניעור חייב וכאן אפילו ניעור פטור מ"ט דמקשיין אהדדי

The mishna teaches: In all cases of intercourse with those with whom relations are forbidden, if one is awake and one is sleeping, the one who is sleeping is exempt. The Gemara asks: And is that to say that here the one who is sleeping is liable? Rav Yehuda said that Rav said: This is what the mishna is teaching: In all cases of intercourse with those with whom relations are forbidden, if one is awake and one is sleeping, the one who is sleeping is exempt and the one who is awake is liable. But here, even the one who is awake is exempt. What is the reason? Their punishments are linked, as they are juxtaposed to each other in the verse: "There shall be an inspection...and he shall bring his guilt offering unto the Lord" (Leviticus 19:20–21).

חני תנא קמיה דרב ששת עשו גומר כמערה מתכוין כשאין מתכוין

The William Davidson Talmud (Koren - Steinsaltz)

guilt offering unto the Lord" (Leviticus 19:20-21).

תני תנא קמיה דרב ששת עשו גומר כמערה מתכוין כשאין מתכוין כדרכה כשלא כדרכה ניעור כישן

A tanna taught a baraita before Rav Sheshet: The Sages rendered one who completes the act of intercourse like one who engages in the initial stage of intercourse; one who commits the act intentionally like one who does so unintentionally; one who engages in intercourse in a typical manner like one who engages in intercourse in an atypical manner, i.e., anal intercourse; and one who is awake like one who is sleeping.

א"ל מאי קאמרת אי בשפחה חרופה קתני אמאי עשו גומר כמערה גומר בשפחה חרופה מיחייב מערה לא מיחייב ותו מתכוין כשאינו מתכוין אי מכוונה מיחייבא אי לא לא מיחייבא

Rav Sheshet said to him: What are you saying? If you are teaching