• תַּנְיָא, רַבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן בֶּן יוֹחַי אוֹמֵר: גִּיוֹרֶת פְּחוּתָה מִבָּת שָׁלשׁ שָׁנִים וְיוֹם אֶחָד — כְּשֵׁירָה לַכְּהוּנָה, שֶׁנֶּאֱמֵר: ״וְכֹל הַטַף בַּנָּשִׁים אֲשֶׁר לֹא יָדְעוּ מִשְׁכַּב זָכָר הַחֵיוּ לָכֶם״, וַהֲרֵי פִּנְחָס עִמְהֶם.

§ The Gemara cites another ruling of Rabbi Shimon ben Yoḥai, also related to the discussion of defining who is considered a virgin. It is taught in a baraita that Rabbi Shimon ben Yoḥai says: A female convert who converted when she was less than three years and one day old is permitted to marry into the priesthood, as it is stated: "But all the women children that have not known man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves" (Numbers 31:18). This verse indicates that these women were fit for all of the warriors, and since Pinehas the priest was with them (see Numbers 31:6), it is clear that young converts are permitted to priests.

X

Q Search Log in Sign up ② ⊕ ~

Yevamot 60b

The William Davidson Talmud (Koren - Steinsaltz)

□ AX

young converts are permitted to priests.

י וְרַבָּנַן? לַעֲבָדִים וְלִשְׁפָחוֹת. אִי הָכִי, בַּת שָׁלשׁ שָׁנִים וְיוֹם אֶחָד נְמֵי?

The Gemara asks: And how do the Rabbis, who disagree with Rabbi Shimon, interpret this verse? The Gemara responds: They understand the phrase "keep alive for yourselves" to mean that they could keep them as slaves and as maidservants, but they could not necessarily marry them. The Gemara asks: If so, if the source for Rabbi Shimon's ruling is this verse, a girl who converted at the age of three years and one day old should also be permitted to a priest, as long as she has never had intercourse, as stated by the verse.

• כְּדְרַב הוּנָא. דְּרַב הוּנָא רָמֵי, כְּתִיב: ״כְּל אִשְׁה יֹדַעַת אִישׁ לְמִשְׁכַּב זָכָר הֲרֹגוּ״, הָא אֵינָה יוֹדַעַת — קַיֵּימוּ, מִכְּלָל דְּהַשַּף, בֵּין יִדְעוּ בֵּין לֹא יָדְעוּ — קַיֵּימוּ. וּכְתִיב: ״וְכָל הַטַף בַּנְּשִׁים אֲשֶׁר לֹא יָדְעוּ מִשְׁכַּב לֹא יָדְעוּ הַשִּׁר הָא יָדְעוּ מִשְׁכַּב Yevamot 60b

The William Davidson Talmud (Koren - Steinsaltz)

פּדְרַב הוּנָא. דְּרַב הוּנָא רְמֵי, פְּתִיב: ״כְּל אִשְׁה יֹדַעַת אִישׁ לְמִשְׁכַּב זְּכְר הַרֹגוּ״, הָא אֵינָה יוֹדַעַת — קַיֵּימוּ, מִכְּלֶל דְּהַטַף, בֵּין יָדְעוּ בֵּין זְכְר הַרֹגוּ״, הָא אֵינָה יוֹדַעַת — קַיֵּימוּ, מִכְּלֶל דְּהַטַף, בֵּין יָדְעוּ מִשְׁכַּב לֹא יָדְעוּ — קַיֵּימוּ. וּכְתִיב: ״וְכָל הַטַף בַּנְשִׁים אֲשֶׁר לֹא יָדְעוּ מִשְׁכַּב זֹיְרְעוּ הַחֵיוּ לְכֶם״, הָא יָדְעִי — הַרוֹגוּ!

D AX

The Gemara replies: His reasoning is as stated by Rav Huna, as Rav Huna raised a contradiction: It is written in one verse: "Kill every woman that has known man by lying with him" (Numbers 31:17), which indicates that a woman who has not known a man in this way you may keep alive. This proves by inference that the female children, who are not classified as women, you may keep alive regardless of whether they knew a man or they did not know a man. And it is written in a different verse: "But all the women children that have not known man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves" (Numbers 31:18), which indicates that if they have known men, you



Q Search Log in Sign up ? #~

Yevamot 60b

The William Davidson Talmud (Koren - Steinsaltz)

AX

לא יָדְעוּ — קַיֵּימוּ. וּכְתִיב: ״וְכֶל הַטַּף בַּנְּשִׁים אֲשֶׁר לֹא יָדְעוּ מִשְׁכַּב זָכָר הַחֲיוּ לָכֶם״, הָא יָדְעִי — הֲרוֹגוּ!

The Gemara replies: His reasoning is as stated by Rav Huna, as Rav Huna raised a contradiction: It is written in one verse: "Kill every woman that has known man by lying with him" (Numbers 31:17), which indicates that a woman who has not known a man in this way you may keep alive. This proves by inference that the female children, who are not classified as women, you may keep alive regardless of whether they knew a man or they did not know a man. And it is written in a different verse: "But all the women children that have not known man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves" (Numbers 31:18), which indicates that if they have known men, you must kill them. This is an apparent contradiction.

D AX

X

## Yevamot 60b

The William Davidson Talmud (Koren - Steinsaltz)

(Numbers 31:18), which indicates that if they have known men, you must kill them. This is an apparent contradiction.

הַנֵי אוֹמֵר בִּרְאוּיָה לִיבָּעַל הַכָּתוּב מְדַבֵּר.

Rav Huna explains: You must say that the verse is speaking of a woman who is fit for intercourse. The verse does not mean to distinguish between women who have actually engaged in sexual intercourse and those who have not. Rather, it distinguishes between a girl over the age of three, with whom an act of intercourse is recognized as such, and a girl below the age of three.

י תַּנְיָא נָמֵי הָכִי: ״וְכָל אִשָּׁה יוֹדַעַת אִישׁ״ — בְּרְאוּיָה לִיבְּעֵל הַכְּתוּב מְדַבֵּר. אַתָּה אוֹמֵר בְּרְאוּיָה לִיבָּעַל, אוֹ אֵינוֹ אֶלָּא נִבְעֲלָה מַמְשׁ? כְּשֶׁהוּא אוֹמֵר: ״וְכָל הַטַּף בַּנְשִׁים אֲשֶׁר לֹא יָדְעוּ מִשְׁכַּב זָכָר״, הֱנֵי אוֹמֵר: בִּרְאוּיָה לִיבָּעֵל הַכָּתוּב מִדַבֵּר.

תַּנְיָא נָמֵי הָכִי: ״וְכָל אִשָּׁה יוֹדַעַת אִישׁ״ — בְּרְאוּיָה לִיבְּעֵל הַכְּתוּב מְנְיָא נָמֵי הָכִי: ״וְכָל אִשָּׁה יוֹדַעַת אִישׁ״ — בְּרְאוּיָה לִיבְּעֵל הַפְּתוּב מְדַבֵּר. אַתָּה אוֹמֵר בִּרְאוּיָה לִיבְּעַל, אוֹ אֵינוֹ אֶלְּא נִבְעֲלָה מִמְשׁ? כְּשׁהוּא אוֹמֵר: ״וְכָל הַטַּף בַּנְשִׁים אֲשֶׁר לֹא יָדְעוּ מִשְׁכַּב זְכָר״, הֱוִי אוֹמֵר: בִּרְאוּיָה לִיבָּעֵל הַכְּתוּב מְדַבֵּר.

This is also taught in a baraita: "Every woman that has known man"; the verse is speaking of a woman who is fit for intercourse. The baraita proceeds to discuss this halakha: Do you say it is referring to one who is fit for intercourse, or perhaps it is referring only to one who has actually had intercourse? When the verse states: "But all the women children that have not known man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves," which indicates that grown women must be killed even if they have not had intercourse with a man, you must say that the verse is speaking of a woman who is fit for intercourse.

X

Yevamot 60b

The William Davidson Talmud (Koren - Steinsaltz)

D AX

the verse is speaking of a woman who is fit for intercourse.

ין מְנָא יָדְעִי? אָמַר רַב הוּנָא בַּר בִּיזְנָא אָמַר רַבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן חֲסִידָא:

הָעֶבִירוּם לִפְנֵי הַצִּיץ. כָּל שֶׁפָּנֶיהָ מוֹרִיקוֹת — בְּיָדוּעַ שֶׁהִיא רְאוּיָה

לִיבְּעַל, כֹּל שֶׁאֵין פָּנֶיהָ מוֹרִיקוֹת — בְּיָדוּעַ שֶׁאֵינָהּ רְאוּיָה לִיבְּעַל.

אָמַר רַב נַחְמָן: סִימָן לַעֲבֵירָה — הִדְרוֹקָן.

The Gemara asks a practical question with regard to the events described by the Torah: From where did they know whether a particular girl was already three years old and fit for intercourse? Rav Huna bar Bizna said that Rabbi Shimon Ḥasida said: They passed them before the frontplate of the High Priest. Any girl whose face miraculously turned sallow, it was known that she was fit for intercourse, and any girl whose face did not turn sallow, it was thereby known that she was not fit for intercourse. Similarly, Rav Naḥman said: A sign of transgression in the area of sexual morality is

X

ַּפִּיּוֹצֵא בַּדָּבָר, אַתָּה אוֹמֵר: ״וַיִּמְצְאוּ מִיּוֹשְׁבֵי יָבֵשׁ גִּלְעָד אַרְבַּע מֵאוֹת נַעֲרָה בְתוּלָה אֲשֶׁר לֹא יָדְעוּ אִישׁ לְמִשְׁכַּב זְכָר״, מְנָא יָדְעִי? אָמַר רַב נְעֲרָה בְתוּלָה אֲשֶׁר לֹא יָדְעוּ אִישׁ לְמִשְׁכַּב זְכָר״, מְנָא יָדְעִי? אָמַר רַב כְּהְנָא: הוֹשִׁיבוּם עַל פִּי חָבִית שֶׁל יַיִן, בְּעוּלָה — רֵיחָה נוֹדֵף, בְּתוּלְה — אֵין רֵיחָה נוֹדֵף,

Yevamot 60b

② ⊕~

D AX

Similarly, you can say with regard to the verse: "And they found among the inhabitants of Jabesh-gilead four hundred young virgins that had not known man by lying with him" (Judges 21:12). From where did they know that they were virgins? Rav Kahana said: They sat them on the opening of a barrel of wine. If she was a non-virgin, her breath would smell like wine; if she was a virgin, her breath did not smell like wine.

וּנְעַבְּרִינְהוּ לִפְנֵי צִיץ? אֲמַר רַב כְּהֲנָא בְּרֵיה דְּרַב נָתָן: "לְרָצוֹן לָהֶם"
 כּתיב. לרצוֹו ולֹא לפוּרענוּת. אי הכי בּמדיו נמי? אמר רב אשי:

וּנְעַבְּּרִינְהוּ לִפְנֵי צִיץ? אֲמֵר רַב כְּהֲנָא בְּרֵיהּ דְּרַב נָתָן: "לְרָצוֹן לָהֶם" כְּתִיב, לְרָצוֹן וְלֹא לְפוּרְעָנוּת. אִי הָכִי בְּמִדְיָן נְמֵי? אָמֵר רַב אָשׁי: "לָהֶם" כְּתִיב, לָהֶם — לְרָצוֹן וְלֹא לְפוּרְעָנוּת, וּלְגוֹיִם — אֲפִילּוּ לְפוּרְעָנוּת, וּלְגוֹיִם — אֲפִילּוּ לְפוּרְעָנוּת.

The Gemara suggests: They should have passed them before the frontplate, as described previously with regard to the daughters of Midian. Rav Kahana, son of Rav Natan, said: The verse states with regard to the frontplate: "And it shall be upon Aaron's forehead...that they may be accepted before the Lord" (Exodus 28:38), which indicates that the frontplate is worn for acceptance but not for calamity. The Gemara raises a difficulty: If so, the frontplate should also not have been used with regard to the women of Midian. Rav Ashi said: The word "they" is written in the verse, indicating that for them, the lewish people, the frontplate is for acceptance but not for calamity:



Q Search

Log in Sign up

② ⊕~

×

## Yevamot 60b

The William Davidson Talmud (Koren - Steinsaltz)

D AX

ּכְּתִיב, קָרָצוֹן וְלֹא לְפּוּרְעָנוּת. אִי הָכִי בְּמִדְיָן נְמֵי? אָמַר רַב אָשֵי: ״לָהֶם״ כְּתִיב, לָהֶם — לְרָצוֹן וְלֹא לְפּוּרְעָנוּת, וּלְגוֹיִם — אֲפִילוּ לְפּוּרְעַנוּת.

The Gemara suggests: They should have passed them before the frontplate, as described previously with regard to the daughters of Midian. Rav Kahana, son of Rav Natan, said: The verse states with regard to the frontplate: "And it shall be upon Aaron's forehead...that they may be accepted before the Lord" (Exodus 28:38), which indicates that the frontplate is worn for acceptance but not for calamity. The Gemara raises a difficulty: If so, the frontplate should also not have been used with regard to the women of Midian. Rav Ashi said: The word "they" is written in the verse, indicating that for them, the Jewish people, the frontplate is for acceptance but not for calamity; but for gentiles it can be used even for calamity.



but for gentiles it can be used even for calamity.

אָמַר רַבִּי יַעֲלָב בָּר אִידִי אָמַר רַבִּי יְהוֹשֻׁעַ בֶּן לֵוִי: הֲלֶכָה כְּרַבִּי שִׁמְעוֹן בָּן יוֹחַאי. אֲמַר לֵיהּ רַבִּי זֵירָא לְרַבִּי יַעֲלָב בַּר אִידִי: בְּפֵירוּשׁ שְׁמִיעַ לְךָּ, אוֹ מִכְּלָלָא שְׁמִיעַ לְךְּ? 14

15

Rabbi Ya'akov bar Idi said that Rabbi Yehoshua ben Levi said: The halakha is in accordance with the opinion of Rabbi Shimon ben Yoḥai. Rabbi Zeira said to Rabbi Ya'akov bar Idi: Did you hear Rabbi Yehoshua ben Levi say this explicitly or did you learn it by inference?

מַאִּי כְּלָלָא? דְּאָמַר רַבִּי יְהוֹשֻׁעַ בֶּן לֵוִי: עִיר אַחַת הְיְתָה בְּאֶרֶץ יִשְׂרָאֵל שֶׁקָרָא עָלֶיהָ עַרְעָר, וְשָׁגַר רַבִּי אֶת רַבִּי רוֹמְנוּס וּבְדָקָה, וּמְצָא בְּהּ בַּת שֶׁלְשׁ שָׁנִים וְיוֹם אֶחָד, וְהִכְשִׁירָהּ רַבִּי לַכְּהוּנָה.

inference?

15

מַאי כְּלָלָא? דְּאָמַר רַבִּי יְהוֹשֻׁעַ בֶּן לֵוִי: עִיר אַחַת הָיְתָה בְּאֶרֶץ יִשְׂרָאֵל שַׁקָּרָא עָלֶיהָ עַרְעָר, וְשָׁגֵר רַבִּי אֶת רַבִּי רוֹמְנוּס וּבְדָקָה, וּמְצָא בָּהּ בַּת שֶׁקָרָא עָלֶיהָ עַרְעָר, וְשָׁגֵר רַבִּי אֶת רַבִּי רוֹמְנוּס וּבְדָקָה, וּמְצָא בָּהּ בַּת גִּיוֹרֶת פְּחוּתָה מִבַּת שָׁלשׁ שָׁנִים וְיוֹם אֶחָד, וְהִכְשִׁירָהּ רַבִּי לַכְּהוּנָה. אַמַר לֵיה: בִּפִירוּשׁ שָׁמִיעַ לִי.

The Gemara asks: What inference was Rabbi Zeira hinting at? The Gemara explains: As Rabbi Yehoshua ben Levi said: There was a certain city in Eretz Yisrael where they contested the lineage of a particular family. And Rabbi Yehuda HaNasi sent Rabbi Romanus, and he examined the family's lineage and found that it included the daughter of a convert who had converted when she was less than three years and one day old, and she had married a priest. And Rabbi Yehuda HaNasi permitted her to the priesthood. This indicates that Rabbi Yehushua ben Levi ruled in accordance with Rabbi Shimon



Q Search

Log in Sign up

② ⊕~

X

Yevamot 60b

The William Davidson Talmud (Koren - Steinsaltz)

□ A8

שֶׁקֶּרָא עָלֶיהָ עַרְעָר, וְשָׁגֵּר רַבִּי אֶת רַבִּי רוֹמְנוּס וּבְדָקָהּ, וּמְצָא בָּהּ בַּת גִּיּוֹרֶת פְּחוּתָה מִבַּת שָׁלשׁ שָׁנִים וְיוֹם אֶחָד, וְהִכְשִׁירָהּ רַבִּי לַכְּהוּנָה. אַמַר לֵיה: בַּפִירוּשׁ שִׁמִיעַ לִי.

The Gemara asks: What inference was Rabbi Zeira hinting at? The Gemara explains: As Rabbi Yehoshua ben Levi said: There was a certain city in Eretz Yisrael where they contested the lineage of a particular family. And Rabbi Yehuda HaNasi sent Rabbi Romanus, and he examined the family's lineage and found that it included the daughter of a convert who had converted when she was less than three years and one day old, and she had married a priest. And Rabbi Yehuda HaNasi permitted her to the priesthood. This indicates that Rabbi Yehoshua ben Levi ruled in accordance with Rabbi Shimon. Rabbi Ya'akov bar Idi said to him: I heard explicitly that Rabbi Yehoshua ben Levi ruled in this manner.